Supreme Court, U.S.

\_\_\_\_05-50 9 JUL 6 2005

NO. 04-3452

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

# OF THE UNITED STATES

In Re: Evelyn L. Johnson,

Petitioner.

ON PETITION

FOR AN EXTRAORDINARY WRIT

OF MANDAMUS

AND/OR

**PROHIBITION** 

**BRIEF FOR PETITIONER** 

37 Ang 2005

Evelyn L. Johnson

Pro Se Petitioner

6241 S. Skyline Drive

Douglasville, GA 30135

(770) 489-0343

## QUESTION(S)

A. Whether the Petitioner, who was a 21+ year-tenured and a former federal-civil-service employee, did not have legal rights and protection under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 due to intentional discrimination and disparate treatment, and the due process law of the Constitution, because she was an employee of the U. S. judiciary. 42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq.

B. Whether tenured federal-civil service government employees employed by the U.S. courts, the employing office, which is under the jurisdiction and direction of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts (AO), the Agency, are entitled to equal protection under law and entitled to seek relief on damages brought under federal civil service, civil rights, and Constitutional laws. 42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq.; 2 U.S.C. § 1434, 5 U.S.C. § 706(k). (E at 2-3).

C. Whether the three-judge merits-panel of the Federal Circuit Court of Appeals erred when it did not address the merits of the case before the Court, failed to do its legal responsibility, obligation, and ultimate duty of following the law in adjudicating the case. 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(1); 5 U.S.C. §§ 7512-7512(a)(2). 28 U.S.C. § 1295(a)(9). (Id.).

## QUESTION(S)

A. Whether the Petitioner, who was a 21+ year-tenured and a former federal-civil-service employee, did not have legal rights and protection under *Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964* due to intentional discrimination and disparate treatment, and the due process law of the Constitution, because she was an employee of the U. S. judiciary. 42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq.

B. Whether tenured federal-civil-service government employees employed by the U.S. courts, the employing office, which is under the jurisdiction and direction of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts (AO), the Agency, are entitled to equal protection under law and entitled to seek relief on damages brought under federal civil service, civil rights, and Constitutional laws. 42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq.; 2 U.S.C. § 1434, 5 U.S.C. § 706(k). (E at 2-3).

C. Whether the three-judge merits-panel of the Federal Circuit Court of Appeals erred when it did not address the merits of the case before the Court, failed to do its legal responsibility, obligation, and ultimate duty of following the law in adjudicating the case. 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(1); 5 U.S.C. §§ 7512-7512(a)(2). 28 U.S.C. § 1295(a)(9). (Id.).

#### LIST OF PARTIES

## Staff Attorneys' Office

## U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit

- 1. Naomi G. Godfrey, Senior Staff Attorney
- 2. Karen B. Sinyard, Office Manager
- 3. Sara Gilibert, Manager for Recruiting & Retaining
- Norman E. Zoller, Circuit Executive, Naomi Godfrey's direct supervisor
- 5. George Watson, court automation employee
- 6. Mori Irvin, Judicial Division Manager
- 7. Brian Schoemaker, Supervising Staff Attorney

### LIST OF PARTIES

## Staff Attorneys' Office

## U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit

- 1. Naomi G. Godfrey, Senior Staff Attorney
- 2. Karen B. Sinyard, Office Manager
- 3. Sara Gilibert, Manager for Recruiting & Retaining
- 4. Norman E. Zoller, Circuit Executive, Naomi Godfrey's direct supervisor
- 5. George Watson, court automation employee
- 6. Mori Irvin, Judicial Division Manager
- 7. Brian Schoemaker, Supervising Staff Attorney

CON	TENTS	PAGE(S)
	Question(s)	i
	List of Parties	ii
	Table of Contents	iii-vi
	Table of Authorities	vii-x
I.	Jurisdiction	1-2
II.	Statement of the Case	2
	A. Title VII of the Civil Rights Act	
	of 1964 Standard	2
	B. Merits	2, 3
Ш.	Background	3
	A. Case and Petitioner's Background	3-4
	B. Employing Office's Reason for the	
	Retaliatory Termination	5
	C. Petitions for Review (MSPB, Board,	
	Federal Circuit Court of Appeals)	6
	1. Timeliness Petition for Review	
	with the MSPB	6
	2. Timeliness Petition for Review	
	with the Fed. Cir. Court	6-8

CONTENTS		PAGE(S)
	D. Handling and Processing of the Case	8
	E. Petitioner's Allegations	9
	F. Material Evidence	9
	G. Petitioner's Due Process Right	10
IV.	Argument	10
	A. 2003 EDR Complaint—Retaliatory	
	Termination Due to the Filing of	
	the 2002 EDR Complaint	10
	1. Title VII of the Civil Rights	
	Act of 1964	10-12
	2. Motion to Dismiss and	
	Congressional Accountability	
	Act of 1995	12-13
	3. Competitive Service.	
	v. Excepted Service	13-17
	4. Definition of "Agency," "Execut	ive
	and Judicial Branches" of the	
	Government & "Employee"	17-19
	5. Preponderance of Evidence	
	Standard	19-20

CON	TENTS	PAGE(S)
	6. The <u>Hartman</u> Case	20-22
	7. Inte A nal Discrimination and	
	Disparate Treatment Standard	22-24
	8. Continuing Violation Doctrine	24-26
	B. 2003 Adverse Personnel Action	
	- Involuntary Termination	26
	1. Respondents' After-the-Fact	
	Justification	26
	2. Adverse Action Hearing	
	October 29, 2003	26-27
	C. 2002 EDR Complaint—Intentional	
	Discrimination and Disparate	
	Treatment Under Title VII of	
	the Civil Rights Act of 1964	27
	1. 2002 EDR Hearing	
	September 25-26, 2002	27
	2. Prohibited Personnel Practice	27-28
V.	Reasons for Granting the Writ	28
	A. Conflict of Interest	28
	D. F. L. J. Ci i. C	

CONTENTS		PAGE(S)
	Relationship with the	
	Administrative of the U.S.	-
	Courts, Petitioner's Agency	28-29
	C. Congressional Accountability Act	
	of 1995	29
	D. Dismissal of the Case for Lack	-
	of Jurisdiction	29-30
VI.	Conclusion	30
VII.	Damages and Relief	30

# NO. 04-3452

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Case	Page(s)
Furnco Construction Corp. v. Waters,	23, 24
438 U.S. 567, 577 (1978)	
Hartman v. MSPB, 77 F.3d 1378,	20, 21
1380 (5th Cir. 1996)	~
McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Green,	23, 24
411 U.S. 792, 802 (1973)	
National Railroad Passenger Corp.	26
v. Morgan, 122 S.Ct. 2061	
U.S. 4214 (2002)	
Texas Department of Community	23
Affairs v. Burdine, 450 U.S. 248,	
256 (1981)	
Amendments to the Constitution of the	he United States
Article III, § 2 of the Constitution	1, 2, 28
Amendment IV, § 1 of the Constitution	2, 3, 9, 10, 26, 30

## NO. 04-3452

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Statutes	Page(s)
2 U.S.C. § 1302	12, 18, 28, 29
2 U.S.C. § 1317	4, 5, 8, 11, 27, 28
2 U.S.C. § 1434	i, 2, 5, 9, 11 13,
	18, 29
5 U.S.C. § 212.301	15, 16
5 U.S.C. § 706 (k)	i, 12, 20, 28, 30
5 U.S.C. § 1221(a)	21
5 U.S.C. § 2101(a)	13
5 U.S.C. § 2102(a)(2)	14, 15
5 U.S.C. § 2302(a)(2)(A)	21
5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(1)	27
5 U.S.C. § 4303	27
5 U.S.C. § 5596	30
5 U.S.C. § 7511 (a)(1)	13, 17, 18, 20
5 U.S.C. § 7512	2, 27
5 U.S.C. § 7701(c)(2)	14, 19
5 U.S.C. § 7703(a)(2)	8
5 U.S.C. § 8331	9
5 U.S.C. § 8347(d)(1)-(2)	9

# NO. 04-3452

# TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Statutes	Page(s)
5 U.S.C. § 8461(e)(1)	9
28 U.S.C. § 602	22
28 U.S.C. § 604	22
28 U.S.C. § 1295(a)(9)	i, 2
28 U.S.C. § 1651(a)	1, 2, 28
42 U.S.C. § 1981(a)(1)	3, 11, 30
42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq.	i, 2, 3, 11, 12, 20
42 U.S.C. § 2000e -2(a)	22
42 U.S.C. § 2000e -2(b)	29
42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(g)(1)	11, 30
Civil Rights Act of 1991	3
Civil Service Reform Act of 1978	24, 27
Family Medical Leave Act	3
Title VII of the Civil Rights	
Act of 1964	i, 1, 2, 3, 10, 11, 12,
	22, 23, 24, 25, 27
Regulations	Page(s)
5 C.F.R § 1201.36	26